



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/814,494	03/31/2004	Peter D. Gunn	030048122US	6456
64066 7590 11/17/2008 PERKINS COIE LLP (BOEING) P.O. BOX 1247 PATENT - SEA SEATTLE, WA 98111-1247				
EXAMINER				
AMIN, BHAVESH V				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
3664				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
11/17/2008		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/814,494

Applicant(s)

GUNN ET AL.

Examiner

BHAVESH AMIN

Art Unit

3664

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) BHAVESH AMIN.(3) James Sfekas.(2) John Weckin.

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 10 November 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: ____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Barnett US Patent 5,416,705.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant described their invention and pointed out differences between art of record and invention. No agreement was reached and applicant was advised that arguments could be submitted and would be looked at. Applicant was also informed of how office reads claimed invention, and would also considered supposed amendment.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Bhavesh Amin/
Examiner, Art Unit 3664 (11/10/2008)

/KHOI TRAN/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3664